Application No.: 09/771054 Case No.: 56147US002

REMARKS

This is further to the telephone interview on January 25 2008 between yourself, Dr.

Steven Kantner, and the undersigned. During that interview the importance of the silyl groups

were discussed in relation to the claimed invention. Particularly the objective of the invention in

having an aqueous cosmetic composition that has the conflicting goals of being of relative low

viscosity so as to allow for easy application but which when applied can form a durable

protective polyurethane coating. This is accomplished in the invention by the silyl

functionalization of the polyurethane/urea which allows the polyurethane/urea polymers to from

a stable dispersion in the aqueous solution, but on application the water evaporates which drives

the hydroxylation of the silyl groups increasing the effective molecular weight of the

polyurethane/urea allowing the formation of a durable coating.

It was pointed out in the interview that none of the references applied teach silvl

functionalization of a polyurethane/urea at all and particularly not to achieve the above

objectives in a cosmetic article or composition.

Attached from Dr Kantner are drawings of the reactions that occur in the invention

compositions.

The 35 USC 112 second paragraph objection has been addressed by using the language

"a reaction product" in place of "the reaction product". The 35 USC first paragraph objection

should be moot as the language objected to was previously deleted from claim 30 in the response

dated July 27, 2007.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

May 6, 2008

Date

By: /William J. Bond/

William J. Bond, Reg. No.: 32,400

Telephone No.: 651-736-4790

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833

11